

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/638,156	ROMANO, KATHLEEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Ms. Jennifer E. Novosad (Primary Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Jeffrey Proehl (Appl. Rep).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Section 112, 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:

12 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending the claims to correct Section 112, 2nd paragraph issues therein, i.e., with respect to claim 12, the second to last paragraph of the claim contains a narrower recitation of the previous paragraph which contains a broader recitation, and with respect to claim 16, lines 2-3 attempt to add an element already present in claim 12. Accordingly, the applicable paragraphs will be deleted from the claims via Examiner's amendment .